NEHE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE 1 4 4 0 8

| Application Serial No. | 09/824 901 |
|---|-------------------|
| Filing Date | 4/02/2001 |
| Inventorship | Burns et al |
| Applicant Micr | osoft Corporation |
| Group Art Unit | 2665 |
| Examiner | D. Ryman |
| Attorney's Docket No | MS1-095USC4 |
| Title: Content Provider for Pull Based Intelligent Caching System | |

DECLARATION UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 1.131

DEC 1 1 2003

RECEIVED

Technology Center 2600

As an Applicant representative, I hereby declare that:

My residence, post office address, and citizenship are as stated below next to my name.

The invention entitled "Content Provider for Pull Based Intelligent Caching System," as identified above, was conceived in the United States prior to January 16, 1996, the earliest of the filing dates of U.S. Patent No. 5,732,078 to Arango (hereinafter, "Arango"), and U.S. Patent No. 5,790,935 to Payton (hereinafter, "Payton). The invention was reduced to practice when the parent application 08/703,487 was filed August 26, 1996. Included herewith are redacted documents which evidence conception of the subject application prior to January 16, 1996, and which evidence reasonable diligence to disclose, prepare, and file the parent application on August 26, 1996.

A Disclosure Document (one page) dated August 29, 1995 evidences that the invention of the subject application was conceived in the United States prior to January 16, 1996, the earliest of the filing dates of Arango and Payton. An invention disclosure Meeting Record (three pages) dated February 7, 1996 evidences an invention disclosure meeting conducted with Applicant's attorney and inventor Greg



8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

MS1-095USC4 DE2.doc

Burns. An email communication (one page) dated April 2, 1996 evidences that a first draft of the parent application, identified as Attorney's Docket No. MS1-095US, was completed by April 2, 1996.

Further evidence of reasonable diligence from "just prior to the entry in the

Further evidence of reasonable diligence from "just prior to the entry in the field of the party who was first to reduce to practice (i.e., Arango on January 16, 1996) and... until the first conceiver reduces to practice (i.e., Applicant on August 26, 1996)" (MPEP §2138.06) includes preparation of the Disclosure Document dated August 29, 1995, Applicant's patent procurement process, the invention disclosure meeting on February 7, 1996, and filing of the parent application 08/703,487 on August 26, 1996. Applicant's patent procurement process is an ongoing process of activity that includes receiving the Disclosure Document from the inventor(s), reviewing the Disclosure Document for completeness, importance level, and patentability by way of meetings and discussions. After Applicant's review, the process continues with an assignment of outside counsel to review and conflict check the Disclosure Document, and a confirmation from the outside counsel by way of a disclosure meeting request. Applicant then facilitates coordination of the disclosure meeting between the outside counsel representative and the inventor(s).

All statements made herein of my own knowledge are true, and all statements made on information and belief are believed to be true. Further, these statements are made with the knowledge that willful false statements and the like so made are punishable by fine or imprisonment, or both, under Section 1001 of Title 18 of the United States Code and that such willful false statement may jeopardize the validity of the application or any patent issued therefrom.

MS1-095USC4 DE2.doc

Full name: Jeffrey R. Hemmen

Signature: Dec. 5 2003

Residence: Renton, WA

Citizenship: USA

Post Office Address: 18714 SE 144th St., Renton, WA, 98059